

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Shri Parminder Singh,  
S/o Late Sh. Harbans Singh,  
R/o E-67, First Floor,  
Vishnu Garden, New Delhi.

--Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station, Salem Tabri,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority:**

O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.:3044 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Parminder Singh, appellant is absent.  
ASI Tarsem Singh O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana is present.  
ASI Jagjit Singh, Belt No.4506/LDH, O/o SHO, Police Station, Salem Tabri,  
Ludhiana is present.

**O R D E R**

Shri Parminder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.02.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Salem Tabri, Ludhiana vide letter dated 18.02.2021. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appellate Authority on 18.03.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 01.07.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondents are present.

The respondent stated that the information has already been sent to the appellant through Courier on 15.04.2021 and compliance has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter dated 02.11.2021 along with a copy of letter no.832-D dated 28.10.2021 and a copy of receipt of courier dated 15.04.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 02.02.2021 filed by the appellant and he sought information regarding FIRs registered at the police station for the last three years. The information is bulky and voluminous and moreover the FIRs are available on the Website of the department.

The appellant is at liberty to download the copies of FIRs whichever he requires from the Website of the concerned Police Station. So, the appeal does not lie and is hereby **dismissed and closed**.

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**Dated: 02.11.2021**



Shri Parminder Singh,  
S/o Late Sh. Harbans Singh,  
R/o E-67, First Floor,  
Vishnu Garden, New Delhi.

--Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SHO, Police Station, Moti Nagar,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority:**  
O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.:3045 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Parminder Singh, appellant is absent.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Moti Nagar,  
Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Parminder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.02.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Moti Nagar, Ludhiana vide letter dated 18.02.2021. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appellate Authority on 12.03.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 01.07.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 02.02.2021 filed by the appellant and he sought information regarding FIRs registered at the police station for the last three years. The information is bulky and voluminous and moreover the FIRs are available on the Website of the department.

The appellant is at liberty to download the copies of FIRs whichever he requires from the Website of the concerned Police Station. So, the appeal does not lie and is hereby **dismissed and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Bittu, S/o Sh. Om Kumar,  
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,  
Chandigarh Road, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o BDPO,  
Ludhiana-2.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.:825 of 2021**

**Present:-** Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant.  
Shri Jagdeep Singh, Computer Operator is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o  
BDPO, Ludhiana-2.

**O R D E R**

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 28.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Ludhiana-2. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant and Shri Jagdeep Singh, Computer Operator is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the applicant stated that complete information has not been provided and further requested that complete information be provided to the applicant.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the information which was available has already been provided to the applicant.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I disposed of the present complaint case with the direction to the BDPO, Ludhiana-2 that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) Copy to: BDPO, Ludhiana-2. – for compliance of the order.**



Shri Bittu, S/o Sh. Om Kumar,  
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,  
Chandigarh Road, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Sarabha Nagar,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.:828 of 2021**

**Present:-** Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant. None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Sarabha Nagar, Distt. Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Sarabha Nagar, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided and further requested that the information be provided to the applicant.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I dispose of the present complaint case with the direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) Copy to: Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana – for compliance of the order.**



Shri Bittu, S/o Sh. Om Kumar,  
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,  
Chandigarh Road, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Tibba,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.:829 of 2021**

**Present:-** Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Tibba, Distt.  
Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Tibba, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided and further requested that the information be provided to the applicant.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I dispose of the present complaint case with the direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) Copy to: Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana – for compliance of the order.**



Shri Bittu, S/o Sh. Om Kumar,  
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,  
Chandigarh Road, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Dugri, Distt. Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.:830 of 2021**

**Present:-** Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant. None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Dugri, Distt. Ludhiana.

**ORDER**

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Dugri, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided and further requested that the information be provided to the applicant.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I dispose of the present complaint case with the direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) Copy to: Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana – for compliance of the order..**



Shri Bittu, S/o Sh. Om Kumar,  
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,  
Chandigarh Road, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Shimlapuri, Distt. Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.:832 of 2021**

**Present:-** Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant. None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri, Distt. Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided and further requested that the information be provided to the applicant.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I dispose of the present complaint case with the direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) Copy to: Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana – for compliance of the order.**





Shri Bittu, S/o Sh. Om Kumar,  
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,  
Chandigarh Road, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Moti Nagar, Distt. Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.:834 of 2021**

**Present:-** Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Moti Nagar, Distt. Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Moti Nagar, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the applicant stated that the sought for information has been provided to the applicant by the SPIO and the applicant is satisfied with the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant on 01.11.2021 and it is submitted by him that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant is satisfied with the information as per his e-mail, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**





Shri Bittu, S/o Sh. Om Kumar,  
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,  
Chandigarh Road, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Model Town, Distt. Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.:835 of 2021**

**Present:-** Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the applicant stated that the sought for information has been provided to the applicant by the SPIO and the applicant is satisfied with the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant on 01.11.2021 and it is submitted by him that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant is satisfied with the information as per his e-mail, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Manpreet Singh Aulakh,  
S/o Shri Devinder Singh,  
Near Water Works, Village Kailpur,  
P.O. Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/ o BDPO, Block Sudhar,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o DDPO,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2234 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Manpreet Singh Aulakh, appellant is absent.  
Shri Gurdip Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o  
BDPO, Block Sudhar, Distt. Ludhiana.

**ORDER**

Shri Manpreet Singh Aulakh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Sudhar, Distt. Ludhiana.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that in compliance to the order dated 16.09.2021, the remaining information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 21.10.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of information along with photo copy of postal receipt dated 21.10.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO.

The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Jasbir Singh,  
S/o Shri Harbans Singh,  
R/o Village Jalal Khera,  
P.O. Sular, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/ o BDPO,  
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o DDPO,  
Patiala.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2576 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.  
Shri Prabhjot Singh, GRS is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Patiala.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 08.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Patiala.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 21.09.2021, the information regarding point no. 5 of the RTI application has been provided by hand to the appellant on 29.09.2021 and the appellant has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 01.11.2021, diarized vide no. 24765, which is a copy of letter no. 650 dated 26.10.2021 along with copy of letter dated 26.10.2021 and copy of duly signed receipt dated 29.09.2021 executed by the appellant. I have gone through all the documents.

The appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh stated that he has received the remaining information and is satisfied.

As the SPIO has provided the remaining information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Jasbir Singh,  
S/o Shri Harbans Singh,  
R/o Village Jalal Khera,  
P.O. Sular, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/ o BDPO,  
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o DDPO,  
Patiala.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2577 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Patiala.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Patiala.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO.

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant stated that the sought for information has been provided to him by the SPIO and he satisfied with the information provided to him. The appellant further requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Ajitpal Singh,  
H.No. 2030-B, Ward No.6,  
Street No.8, Near Delhi Colony,  
Kartar Nagar, Moga.

--Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority:**  
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
Mohali.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2870 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Ajitpal Singh, appellant is absent.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

**O R D E R**

Shri Ajitpal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

**Today the case is through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.10.2021 and on that date both the parties were present. The appellant stated that he has received the information sent by the SPIO, but the information regarding point nos.4 and 5 of the RTI application is not satisfactory. So, accordingly the SPIO was directed that the attested copies of information/reply regarding point nos.4 and 5 of the RTI application be sent to the appellant through registered post within a week and compliance be sent to the Commission.

In compliance to the Order the SPIO has sent a mail to the Commission on 28.10.2021, diarized vide no. 24371, which is a copy of letter dated 22.10.2021 vide which the SPIO has sent the information regarding point nos. 4 and 5 of the RTI application to the appellant.

As the SPIO has complied with the order of the Commission and supplied the remaining information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Jasbir Singh,  
S/o Sh. Harbans Singh,  
Jalal Khera, Post Office Sular,  
Distt. Patiala.

--Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,  
Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority:**

O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,  
Patiala.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2879 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.  
Shri Prabhjot Singh, GRS is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Patiala.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

**Today the case is through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 13.10.2021, the information regarding point nos. 1 to 3 of the RTI application has been provided by hand to the appellant on 05.10.2021 and the appellant has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 01.11.2021, diarized vide no. 24764, which is a copy of letter no. 649 dated 26.10.2021 along with copy of letter dated 26.10.2021 and copy of duly signed receipt dated 05.10.2021 executed by the appellant. I have gone through all the documents.

The appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh stated that he has received the remaining information and is satisfied.

As the SPIO has provided the remaining information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Shri Surjit Singh,  
R/o Mai Godri Sahib,  
R.O. Street,  
Faridkot.

.....Complainant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 18 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Surjit Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.  
Shri Balwinder Singh, APIO-cum-Sr.Asstt. O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali is present.

**ORDER**

Shri Surjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

**Today the case is heard CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent APIO is present and he stated that the Public Information Officer posted in this office has retired from the office on 30.09.2021. He further stated that in compliance to the order dated 21.10.2021, a duly attested affidavit dated 25.10.2021 has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 25.10.2021 and one affidavit has been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 02.11.2021, diarized vide no. 24921, which is a copy of letter dated 25.10.2021 along with duly attested affidavit dated 25.10.2021.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit and it is submitted by the respondent APIO that the information sought by the appellant is 16 years old and he has tried his best to find out the relevant record, but the said record is not traceable in the respondent office, so the respondent is unable to provide the sought for information to the applicant.

So, keeping in view the contents of the affidavit that the information sought by the appellant is 16 years old and the respondent has tried best to find out the relevant record, but the said record is not traceable, so the respondent is unable to provide the sought for information to the applicant. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Tarsem Kumar alias Rinku,  
S/o Shri Pawan Kumar,  
R/o Ward No. 10, Balmiki Basti,  
Near Bus Stand, Dhuri,  
Distt. Sangrur.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/ o BDPO, Dhuri,  
Distt. Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o DDPO,  
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2263 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Raj Singh is present on behalf of Shri Tarsem Kumar alias Rinku, appellant.  
Shri Raj Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of the SPIO-cum-BDPO, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

**ORDER**

Shri Tarsem Kumar alias Rinku, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur..

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Shri Raj Singh is present on behalf of Shri Tarsem Kumar alias Rinku, appellant and Shri Raj Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to the appellant by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 28.10.2021, the remaining information regarding point nos.9, 14, 15, 19, 21, 22, 23 and 25 of the RTI application has been provided to the appellant. He further stated that the information regarding point nos.21, 24 and 25 of the RTI application is related with the office of the Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab, Mohali. The respondent further stated that the information which was available in the office of the public authority has been provided to the appellant.

As per the submission of the respondent that the information which was available in the office of the public authority has been provided to the appellant and the information regarding point nos.21, 24 and 25 of the RTI application is related with the office of the Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab, Mohali, so the appellant is advised to file fresh RTI application before the concerned public authority for getting the remaining information. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 02.11.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**